EXHIBIT 1, Tab 3



Quintiles BRI Strategic Regulatory Division 1801 Rockville Pike, Suite 300 Rockville, MD 20852 301 530 9222 / 301 272 2150 Fax http://www.cro.quintiles.com

June 23, 1998

VIA COURIER

Division of Anti-Inflammatory, Analgesic, and Ophthalmologic Drug Products Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration, HFD-550 9201 Corporate Boulevard Rockville, MD 20850

Attn: Subject: Ms. Sandra Cook, Project Manager

Information Amendment: Biopharmaceutical Information

NDA 20-905 Serial No. 025

Dear Ms. Cook:

Enclosed please find two copies of the NDA amendment to report Biopharmaceutical Information regarding the use of 5 x 20 mg tablets as an alternative to 1 x 100 mg tablet as the loading dose regimen for Leflunomide. A report is appendicized to this amendment to provide comparative in vitro dissolution data. This issue was discussed in a teleconference on May 29, 1998 between Dr. Mark Eller of Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc. (HMR) and Dr. Dennis Bashaw of the FDA.

If you have any questions regarding the above information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (301) 272-3122.

Sincerely

Joy K. Bates, RN, BSN Manager, Regulatory Affairs Strategic Regulatory Division

Enclosures

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

ARRET A NEW DRIE BIOLOGIC

ANTIBIOTIC DRUG FOR HUMAN US	AF DEATING NUMBER 1998
(Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, 314 & 601)	MEGA DOC RIM
APPLICANT INFORMATION	a miles
NAME OF APPLICANT	DATE OF SUBMISSION
Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.	June 1998
TBLEPHONE NO. (Include Area Conde) (816) 966-7297	FACSHALE (FAQ Number (Include Area Code) (816) 966-3594
APPLICANT ADDRESS (Number, Street, City, State, Country, ZIP Code or Mail Code, and U.S. License number if previously issued):	AUTHORIZED U.S. AGENT NAME & ADDRESS (Number, Sireel, City, State, ZIP Code, telephone & FAX number) IF APPLICABLE
10236 Marion Park Drive	Quintiles BRI, Inc. 1801 Rockville Pike, Suite 300
Kansas City, MO 64137	Rockville, MD 20852
	(301) 530-9222 FAX (301) 272-2150
PRODUCT DESCRIPTION	
NEW DRUG OR ANTIBIOTIC APPLICATION NUMBER, OR BIOLOGICS LICENSE APPL	ICATION NUMBER (II previously leaved)
ESTABLISHED NAME (e.g., Proper mame, USPAJSAN name) PRO	PRIETARY NAME (trade name) IF ANY RAVA CITI Tablets
Defluncmide (INN, USAN pending) A	CODE NAME (II any)
N-(4'-trifluorometnylphenyl)-5-metnylls	oxazole-4-carboxamide HWA 486, A77 14
DORAGE FORM: STRENGTHS: STRENGTHS: 10 Immediate Release Film-Coated Tablets 10	I DOUBLE OF ADMINISTRATION
PROPOSED) INDICATION(S) FOR USE:	Judy Zouldy Tooms, Order Tensors
Rheumatoid Arthritis	{
APPLICATION INFORMATION	
☐ BIOLOGICS LICENSE APPLICATION (21 CFR p	EVIATED APPLICATION (ANDA, AADA, 21 CFR 314.94) art 601)
IF AN NOA, IDENTIFY THE APPROPRIATE TYPE (I) 505 (b) (1)	
IF AN ANDA, OR AADA, IDENTIFY THE REFERENCE LISTED DRUG PRODUCT THAT IS Holder of Approved Appli	; THE BASIS FOR THE SUBMISSION antion
TYPE OF SUBMISSION ORIGINAL APPLICATION CAMENOMENT TO A PENDI	ING APPLICATION PESUBARSSION
☐ PRESUBMISSION ☐ ANNUAL REPORT ☐ ESTABLISHM	ENT DESCRIPTION SUPPLEMENT SUPPLEMENT
☐ EFRCACY SUPPLEMENT ☐ LABELING SUPPLEMENT ☐ CHE	INSTRY MANUFACTURING AND CONTROLS SUPPLEMENT OTHER
reason for submission Proposal for alternative loa	ding dose regimen.
PROPOSED MARKETING STATUS (check one) , (E) PRESCRIPTION PRODUCT (PLI)	OVER THE COUNTER PRODUCT (OTC)
NUMBER OF VOLUMES SUBMITTED THIS APPLICATION I	S A PAPER PAPER AND ELECTRONIC ELECTRONIC
ESTABLISHMENT INFORMATION	
Provide locations of all manufacturing, packaging and control sites for drug substance and c address, contact, telephone number, registration number (CFN), DMF number, and manufa conducted at the site. Please indicate whether the site is ready for inspection or, if not, whe	cturing steps and/or type of lesting (e.g. +mai gosage form, Stability lesting)
Cross References (list related License Applications, INDs, NDAs, PMAs, 51	0(k)s, IDEs, BMFs, and DMFs referenced in the current
application)	

ORM FDA 356h (7/97)

This	and	olication contains the following items: (Check all that apply)
		Index
-	-	Labeling (check one) Draft Labeling Final Printed Labeling
	-	Summary (21 CFR 314.50 (c))
17		Chemistry section
1	+	A. Chemistry, manufacturing, and controls information (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (d) (1), 21 CFR 601.2)
12	T	B. Samples (21 CFR 314.50 (e) (1), 21 CFR 601.2 (a)) (Submit only upon FDA's request)
	1	C. Methods validation package (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (e) (2) (i), 21 CFR 601.2)
	5.	Nonclinical pharmacology and toxicology section (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (d) (2), 21 CFR 601.2)
	6.	Human pharmacokinetics and bloavailability section (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (d) (3), 21 CFR 601.2)
	7.	Clinical Microbioblogy (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (d) (4))
	8.	Clinical data section (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (d) (5), 21 CFR 601.2)
	9.	Safety update report (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (d) (5) (vi) (b), 21 CFR 601.2)
	10.	Statistical section (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (d) (6), 21 CFR 601.2)
	11	Case report tabulations (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (f) (1), 21 CFR 601.2)
	12.	Case reports forms (e.g. 21 CFR 314.50 (f) (2), 21 CFR 601.2)
	13.	Patent information on any patent which claims the drug (21 U.S.C. 355 (b) or (c))
	14.	A patent certification with respect to any patent which claims the drug (21 U.S.C 355 (b) (2) or (j) (2) (A))
	15.	Establishment description (21 CFR Part 600, if applicable)
	16.	Debarment certification (FD&C Act 306 (k)(1))
	17.	Field copy certification (21 CFR 314.50 (k) (3))
	18.	User Fee Cover Sheet (Form FDA 3397)
	19.	OTHER (Specify)
warning request including 1. 1. 2. 4. 5. 6. 7. 1 William of the day of the da	to upps, piled by the Good Biological Biolog	odate this application with new safety information about the product that may reasonably affect the statement of contraindications, recautions, or adverse reactions in the draft labeling. I agree to submit safety update reports as provided for by regulation or as y FDA. If this application is approved, I agree to comply with all applicable laws and regulations that apply to approved applications, it not limited to the following: Improvided the following: Improvided the following: Improvided the following: Interest the following: Improvided the following: Interest the following: Interest the following: Improvided that FDA has proposed for scheduling under the Controlled Substances Act I agree not to market the Interest the foliogeness of the following that submission have been reviewed and, to the best of my knowledge are certified to be true and accurate, withfully latse statement is, a criminal offense, U.S. Code, title 18, section 1001.
		DE RESPONSIBLE DEFICAL OR AGENT TYPED NAME AND TITLE DOUGLAS M. Hunt, Director QSRD DATE 0/23/78
ADDRES 180	SO	New City, State, and ZIP Code) Rockville Pike, #300, Rockville, MD 20852 (301) 530-9222
instruct	ions, tion.	orting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time for reviewing searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for burden to:
Paperw Hubert 200 Ind	rork F H. H. lepen	orts Clearance Officer An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number DC 20201
Please	00 I	NOT RETURN this form to this address

FORM FDA 356h (7/97)

NDA #20-095 Serial No. 025 Leflunomide Tablets Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.

Leflunomide Tablets

NDA Amendment/Biopharmaceutical Information

NDA #20-095 Serial No. 025

Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc. 10236 Marion Park Drive Kansas City, Missouri 64137-1405

June 23, 1998 Page 1

- 4 -

1.0 Introduction and Background

The original New Drug Application (NDA) # 20-905 for Leflunomide Tablets was submitted to FDA on March 10, 1998 for the indication of Rheumatoid Arthritis. The NDA proposed a loading dose of 1 x 100 mg tablet for 3 days followed by maintenance doses of 10 mg or 20 mg per day. Tablets of all 3 strengths (10 mg, 20 mg, and 100 mg) are included in the NDA.

Reference is made to a teleconference on May 29, 1998 between Dr. Mark Eller of Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc. (HMR) and Dr. Dennis Bashaw of the FDA. The objective of the teleconference was to explore the possibility of using 5 x 20 mg tablets as an alternative to 1 x 100 mg tablet as the loading dose regimen.

The 100 mg tablets were used in the pivotal Phase III studies for the loading dose of 100 mg QD for the initial 3 days of therapy. The sponsor does not plan to withdraw the 100 mg tablet from the NDA, but would like to propose the use of 5 x 20 mg tablets QD for 3 days as an alternate regimen for administration of the 100 mg loading dose for Leflunomide Tablets.

The efficacy of leflunomide is related to the steady-state plasma concentrations of A77 1726, the primary metabolite. The steady-state plasma concentrations are a function of the maintenance dose, not the loading dose. Assuming a given maintenance dose, equivalent steady-state concentrations would be achieved from either a 1 x 100 mg or 5 x 20 mg tablet loading dose.

We support our proposal with in vitro dissolution data and a clinical justification, which are discussed in Sections 2.0 and 3.0, respectively.

2.0 In Vitro Dissolution Data

Document No. PDK12848/98 (Appendix I) compares the composition of the 20 mg and 100 mg tablets (U.S. commercial formulations) and their in vitro dissolution profiles. Using the in vitro dissolution system proposed for the 100 mg tablet, the dissolution profiles for 1 x 100 mg and 5 x 20 mg tablets were superimposable (Figure 1) and met the current specifications for the 100 mg tablet (i.e., \geq 70% (Q) at 30 min).




Figure 1: In Vitro Comparative Dissolution Profile

Clinical Justification 3.0

Leflunomide, the active chemical entity in Leflunomide Tablets, is rapidly metabolized to A77 1726, the pharmacologically active compound in man. A77 1726 has an elimination half-life of 15 to 18 days in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. A regimen of 100 mg/day as

Leflunomide Tablets Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.

a single dose for the first 3 days of therapy is therefore recommended as a loading dose to decrease the time required to reach steady-state. Clinical efficacy is dependent upon the steady-state concentrations and those are a function of the maintenance rather than the loading dose. Consequently, any differences in absorption that may exist between a 100 mg dose administered as 1 x 100 mg tablet or 5 x 20 mg tablets would not alter the therapeutic effect.

Conclusion 4.0

We propose to use 5 x 20 mg tablets as an alternative to 1 x 100 mg tablet for the 100 mg OD x 3 days loading dose proposed in the NDA. Based on the following points, we feel that the two loading dose regimens are comparable:

- the in vitro dissolution profiles of 1 x 100 mg tablet and 5 x 20 mg tablets are equivalent;
- the elimination half-life of the major metabolite (A77 1726) is 15 to 18 days; a loading dose of 100 mg QD for the initial 3 days is recommended only to decrease the time required to reach steady-state; and
- clinical efficacy is dependent upon the steady-state concentrations achieved by the maintenance dose and not the loading dose.

FDA Concurrence 5.0

Based on the information submitted in this NDA amendment, we respectfully request that FDA consider the following:

- Does FDA concur with the conclusions stated in section 4.0 above? 1)
- Does FDA agree that the loading dose of 100 mg can be administered either as 5 x 2) 20 mg tablets or 1 x 100 mg tablet?
- 3) Does FDA concur that an in vivo bioequivelance study between 1 x 100 mg and 5 x 20 mg tablets is not warranted?

-7-

NDA #20-095 Serial No. 025 Leflunomide Tablets Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.

Appendix I: Document No. PDK12848/98

Comparative Dissolution of Two Different Dosage Strengths

HWA 486 Film-coated Tablets: 20 mg, 100 mg

June 23, 1998

Page 5

-8-

HWA 486 Film-coated Tablets:

Comparative Dissolution of Two Different Dosage Strengths

20 mg, 100 mg

Document No.: PDK12848/98

May 13, 1998

This report contains the unpublished research findings of Hoechst scientists. It should not be published in whole or in part or referred to in any publication without authorization from the company.

HMR Global Pharma ceutical Development Germany,

Analytics: Germany

Quality Control Manager

(Dr. Schmidt)

Head of Laboratory
(Dr. Loos)

HWA 486 Film-coated Tablets:

Comparative Dissolution of Two Different Dosage Strengths

20 mg, 100 mg

Document No.: PDK12848/98

May 13, 1998

1. Objective

HWA 486 film-coated tablets are designed as immediate-release tablet formulations containing 10 mg, 20 mg and 100 mg of leflunomide.

The aim of this document is to compare the dissolution profile of five HWA 486 film-coated tablets of 20 mg dosage strength with the dissolution profile of one 100 mg tablet. In addition comparative data are provided on individual 20 mg tablets being tested under the same conditions.

2. Composition of Tablets

Two fullscale commercial batches were used for the tests. The composition of the batches is given in the table below.

Strength	20 mg	100 mg
Batch No.	L113B	33
Tablet (mg)		,
Leflunomide	20.000	100.000
Lactose monohydrate	72.000	138.400
Maize starch	46.000	86.300
Povidone, Type K25	3.500	7.380
Cropovidone	7.500	18.450
Talc		15.500
Colloidal anhydrous silica	0.500	1.110
Magnesium stearate	0.500	1.840
Mass of tablet (mg)	150.000	369.000
Film coating (mg)	•	
НРМС	2.516	5.443
Macrogol 8000	0.160	0.288
Titanium dioxide (E 171)	0.629	1.361
Talc	0.189	0.408
Yellow ferric oxide	0.006	
Mass of film coating (mg)	3.500	7.500
Mass of film coated tablet (mg)	153.000	376.500

HWA 486 Film-coated Tablets:

Comparative Dissolution of Two Different Dosage Strengths

20 mg, 100 mg

Document No.: PDK12848/98 May 13, 1998

3. Test Conditions

Tablet strengths: 20 and 100 mg

Test conditions (based on the control test for HWA 486 film-coated tablets 100 mg):

Apparatus:

Paddle (USP Apparatus 2)

Speed of rotation:

100 rpm

Dissolution medium:

Water containing 0.6% (m/V) of polyoxyethylene lauryl ether (e.g.

Brij®35, Riedel-de-Haën article no. 33059), 1000 ml

Time:

15, 30, 45 and 60 min

Method:

Remove dissolved gases from the dissolution medium by means of a vacuum before the test since they can cause the formation of bubbles which significantly affect the results.

Place 1000 ml of the dissolution medium in the vessel, assemble the apparatus, warm the dissolution medium to 37 ± 0.5 °C and remove the thermometer.

Place one 20 mg tablet, five 20 mg tablets or one 100 mg tablet in each of six vessels at the bottom before starting rotation of the blade. Take care to avoid the presence of air bubbles on the surface of the sample. Start the rotation of the apparatus with 100 rpm immediately after the sample has sunk to the bottom.

At specified time intervals samples are withdrawn and replaced by fresh dissolution medium.

The maximal permissible deviation from the rotation speed amounts to $\pm 4 \%$.

Determination of dissolved leflunomide:

Determine the amount of dissolved leflunomide by UV/VIS spectroscopy.

Test solution:

Withdraw 10.0 ml after the specified time intervals from a position midway between the surface of the dissolution medium and the top of the blade and not less than 10 mm from the vessel wall. Dilute the sample to 100.0 ml with water containing 0.6 % (m/V) of polyoxyethylene lauryl ether in case of the test with five 20 mg tablets and one 100 mg tablet. Dilute the sample to 25.0 ml with water containing 0.6 % (m/V) of polyoxyethylene lauryl ether in case of the

test with one 20 mg tablet.

HWA 486 Film-coated Tablets:

Comparative Dissolution of Two Different Dosage Strengths

20 mg, 100 mg

Document No.: PDK12848/98

May 13, 1998

Standard solution:

Dissolve 20.0 mg of leflunomide reference standard in methanol and dilute to 50.0 ml with the same solvent. Dilute 2.0 ml of the solution thus obtained to 100.0 ml with water containing 0.6 % (m/V) of

polyoxyethylene lauryl ether.

Wavelength:

262 nm (maximum of absorbance)

Blank value:

Measurement of the test solution: Water containing 0.6 % (m/V) of

polyoxyethylene lauryl ether.

Measurement of the standard solution: Dilute 2.0 ml methanol to 100.0 ml with water containing 0.6 % (m/V) of polyoxyethylene lauryl

ether.

The specific absorption A (1%, 1 cm) of leflunomide in the dissolution medium is approximately 690.

4. Results

Table 1: Dissolution of one 20 mg HWA 486 film-coated tablet in each vessel

			Percent	dissolve			***************************************		
Time [min]	1	2	3	4	5	6	Mean [%]	Range [%]	SD
15	86	91	89	90	87	89	89	86- 91	1.7
30	99	101	96	98	98	97	98	96-101	1.6
45	100	101	96	98	97	96	98	96-101	2.2
60	100	100	96	99	98	98	99	96-100	1.4

Table 2: Dissolution of five 20 mg HWA 486 film-coated tablets in each vessel

			Percent						
Time [n	nin] l	2	3	4	5	6	Mean [%]	Range [%]	SD
15	99	100	96	99	97	99	98	96-100	1.5
30	103	102	102	102	99	102	102	99-103	1.3
45	102	101	99	97	99	102	100	97-102	1.7
60	103	102	101	100	99	102	101	99-103	1.5

HWA 486 Film-coated Tablets:

Comparative Dissolution of Two Different Dosage Strengths

20 mg, 100 mg


Document No.: PDK12848/98

May 13, 1998

Table 3: Dissolution of one 100 mg HWA 486 film-coated tablet in each vessel

			Percent						
Time [mi	n] !	2	3	4	5	6	Mean [%]	Range [%]	SD
15	94	98	100	101	99	99	98	94-101	2.5
30	101	102	100	102	101	101	101	100-102	0.8
45	101	102	101	102	101	100	101	100-102	0.9
60	100	102	101	102	101	99	101	99-102	1.1

Figure 1: Comparative dissolution of the different dosage strengths



5. Discussion

The dissolution of HWA 486 film-coated tablets goes rapidly and completely irrespective of the investigated dosage strength.

All test samples comply with the current requirements of the specifications set for the 100 mg tablets.

The dissolution profiles of one 20 mg tablet in each vessel, five 20 mg tablets in each vessel and one 100 mg tablet in each vessel are comparable.